### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

% Recovery:

96

Client ID: M 05521 Date Received: 04/22/10 04/27/10 Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: 04/27/10 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Germanium

Client: Project: Lab ID: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 004234

Data File:

004234-01 x10 004234-01 x10.039

ICPMS1 Instrument: Operator: AP

Lower

Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 448 Nickel 453 Copper 376 122 Zinc

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 004234

Date Extracted:04/27/10Lab ID:I0-213 mbDate Analyzed:04/27/10Data File:I0-213 mb.034Matrix:WaterInstrument:ICPMS1

Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 103 60 125

Concentration
Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 <1</td>

 Nickel
 <1</td>

 Copper
 <1</td>

 Zinc
 <1</td>

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 04/28/10 Date Received: 04/22/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M 05521, F&BI 004234

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 004243-01 (Matrix Spike)

		Percent											
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Recovery MS	Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)						
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	7.75	113 b	113 b	67-132	0						
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	4.38	97 b	100 b	73-119	3						
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.19	98	97	50-144	3.3 (S)1 (S)						
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	4.86	98	100	46-148	2						

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Percent Recovery LCS	Acceptance Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	112	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	57-135

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$ nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

April 28, 2010



#### **INVOICE # 10ACU0428-1**

Accounts Payable Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

RE: Project Metro Self Monitor, PO M 05521, F&BI 004234 - Results of testing requested by Gerry Thompson for material submitted on April 22, 2010.

FEDERAL TAX ID #(b) (6)

Send Report 10	SAMPLIERS (signature)								Page # of				
Company ALASKAN Address 628	PROJECT NAME/NO. PO # Medio Seld Montan MOSSZ						Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH S Configured by:						
City, State, ZIP Ser Phone # 26-20	REMARKS							SAMPLE DISPOSAL  Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions					
		ANALYSES REQU							JESTED	- >-2 (1)1	*395		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	IPH-Diesel	PH-Gasoline TEX by 8021B	OCs by 8260	UCS By 82/U HFS	RCU NEZ			Notes

Sam ple ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	Calu Mes						Notes
m 05521	01	9/20/10	ZID	Aro								又						
*																		
									-	-								p B
8 91	12																	
		::						,										
The state of the s																		300
		27									7		j				E CE PLE VA	
		11					1		7		7							
									7		1							
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Dalim Mad h	SIGNATUI	RE		PRINT								MPA	NY			DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Relingenshed b	7		Greno Thompsu							<u> L</u>		-	4/	4/cz/00 1:38pm			
Seattle, WA 98119- Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:/ M / M / Relinquished b	/ (now	<u> </u>	Whan Phan						FeBI						23/10	1,38	
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:					11-00												-41

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

April 28, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 22, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M 05521, F&BI 004234 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Kortland Orr Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0428R.DOC